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Abstract

Distributional semantics is one of the empirical approaches to natural language processing and acquisition, which is mainly concerned by modeling word meaning using words distribution statistics gathered from huge corpora. Many distributional semantic models are available in the literature, but none of them have been applied so far to the Quran nor to Classical Arabic in general. This paper reports the construction of a very large corpus of Classical Arabic that will be used as a base to study distributional lexical semantics of the Quran and Classical Arabic. It also reports the results of two empirical studies; the first is applying a number of probabilistic distributional semantic models to automatically identify lexical collocations in the Quran and the other is applying those same models on the Classical Arabic corpus in an attempt to test their ability of capturing lexical collocations and co-occurrences for a number of the corpus words. Results show that the MI.log_freq association measure achieved the highest results in extracting significant co-occurrences and collocations from small and large Classical Arabic corpora, while mutual information association measure achieved the worst results.

Keywords: Distributional Lexical Semantics, Quran, Classical Arabic Corpus, Collocation Extraction, Association Measures.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Quran is the divine religious book of Islam. Muslims believe that it contains the actual words of Allah (The God), and that it is the last scripture from Him to humankind. It was revealed to Prophet Muhammad 14 centuries ago in pure Classical Arabic language consisting of 77,430 words [1], which are organized in 114 chapters (Surahs) that are in turn partitioned into a number of verses (Ayahs). The Quranic text is unique and has not encountered any change throughout the previous decades. It is also considered the main source of legislation in Islam since it contains the main rules that govern Muslims in various spiritual, private, social and political aspects of life.
The Quranic text is rich in its vocabulary, morphology and syntactic structures. Its language is unique in its eloquence and style, which differentiate it from any other Classical Arabic text. Some words of the Quran are intended to produce several contextual meanings within the same verses they appear in [2]. Other words have different meanings depending on the context in which they appear in. For example, the word fitnah (disorder) is mentioned in the Quran with eleven different meanings in different contexts [2]. In addition, the Quranic text is concise; it gives the required meaning with the minimum number of words. In fact, it is common to encounter a phrase or sometimes a word that conveys several concepts [3]. Another form of the unique eloquence of the Quran is the use of allegory and analogy, which indeed adds more dimensions to the meaning [4]. All these features and more make the Quran the optimum candidate for representing Classical Arabic, and the most challenging Arabic text to be understood by machines.

On the other hand, most previous research on understanding the Quran and Classical Arabic in general was dominated by the rationalist approaches to language acquisition, which adopt the principle that human brains are built in with a general module for language, and children relies on already built in rules, procedures and structure of that module in acquiring and generating language. In fact, the rationalist approaches dominated most of the work in linguistics, artificial intelligence and natural language processing during the period between 1960 and 1985. Intelligent systems with lots of hardcoded rules and learning abilities were built to simulate the rationalist view of the human brain. However, these systems were criticized by their reliance on a large amount of human provided rules, and their limited ability to handle large problems [3]. This led scientists to revert to empiricist approaches to language learning, which were the dominant in research through the period between 1920 and 1960, and which assume the absence of such general language module and that the human brain is equipped with intelligent mechanisms such as pattern matching and generalization that allow children to learn the complicated structure of language by applying those mechanisms to the input acquired by their senses [3]. Using this essence, empiricist approaches study real world language usage in order to explore the structure and other characterizing features of language. This real world language use is offered to these approaches through corpora, where a corpus (single form of corpora) is a systematically designed collection of written and/or spoken text that can be used in linguistic studies [4].

One of the well known empirical approaches is distributional lexical semantics which is an approach that is mainly concerned by modeling word meaning using words distribution statistics gathered from very large corpora [5]. It is basically built on the notion of the *Distributional Hypothesis*, which dates back to Zellig Haris in 1970 stating that “difference in meaning correlate with difference in distribution” [6]. In other words, it states that “words which are similar in meaning occur in similar contexts” [7], and hence “words that occur in the same contexts tend to have similar meaning” [8].

Therefore, one step towards understanding the Quran is to attempt to study the meanings of the words used in it through analysis of their distributional semantics in contemporaneous texts, and since the Quranic text was revealed in pure Classical Arabic, which forms the basis of Arabic linguistic theory and which is well understood by the educated Arabic reader. Therefore, it is necessary to investigate the distributional lexical semantics of the Quran's words in the light of similar texts (corpus) that are written in pure Classical Arabic. The absence of such corpus is what is believed to be the obstacle hindering research on the distributional lexical semantics of the Quran and Classical Arabic in general.

---

1. The Quran 4:157
   *They slew him not for certain.* [Pickthai Translation]
2. www.islamqa.com
3. The Quran 2:179
   *And there is (a saving of) life for you in Al-Qisâs (the Law of Equality in punishment).* [Dr. Muhsin translation]
4. The Quran 19:4
   *He said: O my Lord! verily the bones of me have waxen feeble, and the head is glistening with hoariness, and have not yet been in my prayer to thee, my Lord, unblest.* [Abdul Daryabadi translation]
This article reports the design and compilation of a very large corpus of Classical Arabic that can be used as the basis for studying distributional lexical semantics of the Quran and Classical Arabic. It also presents two linguistic empirical studies; the first study tests the reliability of eight probabilistic distributional semantic models in extracting collocations from the Quranic text. While the second study assess the ability of those models in extracting collocations from the large corpus of Classical Arabic testing whether corpus size influence the performance of those models or not.

The paper is structured as follows. Section 2 provides a brief preview about the construction of the Classical Arabic corpus. Section 3 discusses the first empirical study. The second study is presented in Section 4. Finally, Section 5 discusses the conclusions of the work presented.

2. KING SAUD UNIVERSITY CORPUS OF CLASSICAL ARABIC (KSUCCA)

To the best of the authors knowledge, there exist only two corpora of Classical Arabic; one is part of the King Abdulaziz City for Science and Technology Arabic Corpus (KACST Arabic Corpus)\(^5\), which is not very large and only has a limited number of genres, and the other is the Classical Arabic Corpus (CAC) [4], which is a relatively small corpus with only 5 million words. Both of these corpora are not appropriate for research in distributional semantics, which requires a very large and diverse corpus. Therefore, it was essential to design and compose a new corpus of Classical Arabic that is very large, balanced, and representative so that any result obtained from it can be generalized for Classical Arabic.

2.1. Purpose of KSUCCA

KSUCCA is initially compiled to be used for studying the distributional lexical semantics of the Quran and Classical Arabic in general. However, it is designed as a general corpus analogous to the Brown [9], LOB [10], BNC [11], Corpus of Contemporary Arabic (CCA) [12] and other general corpora that can be used for a variety of Linguistics and Computational Linguistics research, such as building lexicons, studying language change through time, collocations extraction, synonyms detection, etc. [13].

2.2. The Design And Compilation Of KSUCCA

Texts included in KSUCCA are Arabic texts dating back to the period of the pre-Islamic era until the end of the fourth Hijri\(^6\) century, which is equivalent to the period from the seventh until early eleventh century CE [14]. The corpus is sampled as "full text", where the whole book or poem text is considered as a sample; this is more appropriate for detecting the linguistic features and meanings that may be distributed throughout the text as suggested by Sinclair [15].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genre</th>
<th>Number of texts</th>
<th>Number of words</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Religion</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>23645087</td>
<td>46.73 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linguistics</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>7093966</td>
<td>14.02 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Literature</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>7224504</td>
<td>14.28 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>6429133</td>
<td>12.71 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sociology</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2709774</td>
<td>5.36 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biography</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>3499948</td>
<td>6.92 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>50602412</td>
<td>100 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 1: The Content of KSUCCA [13].**

KSUCCA has 6 genres: Religion, Linguistics, Literature, Science, Sociology and Biography. These genres are further classified into 27 subgenres. The diversity of genres and the amount of texts in them, as in Table 1, is consistent with the knowledge of the overall writing trends at that

\(^5\) http://www.kacstac.org.sa/Pages/Default.aspx

\(^6\) The Hijri calendar is the official calendar for Muslims. Its first year was the year when the Hijra, migration, of Prophet Muhammad Peace be upon him from Makkah to Madinah occurred, which is equivalent to 622 CE.
period of Arab history, and it is an indication of the representativeness and balance of the corpus [13].

3. FIRST EMPIRICAL STUDY: IDENTIFYING QURANIC COLLOCATIONS
This is a preliminary study to explore a range of well-known probabilistic distributional semantic models and how well they work on the Quranic text. The goal is to discover the models that are able to identify the correct collocating words from the Quran as early as possible, which might be an indication of the ability of those models to extract significant collocations from very large corpora of Classical Arabic.

3.1 Setting Up The Study
The first step in preparing for this study is to prepare a gold-standard that can be used to test the accuracy of the obtained results. For this purpose, a manually extracted list of the Quranic collocations from a previous PhD study [16] is prepared, and revised by an expert Arabic linguist. Later on, the list is refined so that it only includes collocations with no morphological variants because they will not be identified. The final version of the list has 59 manually identified, revised and refined collocations.

The study is carried out by Sketch Engine using an authenticated corpus of the Quran. Sketch Engine offers eight different well known probabilistic distributional semantic models, or association measures, for collocation extraction. These measures include: raw frequency, t-score [17], mutual information (MI), MI3, minimum sensitivity, logDice, MI.log_freq [18] and log-likelihood [19]. All these measures were included in the study.

3.2 Data Sets
The study is performed with two data sets; one with choosing the least frequent part of the collocation as the node word, and the other with the most frequent part of the collocations as the node word. This will allow also to measure the effect of the node word frequency on the performance of the association measures.

3.3 Performing The Study
In this study it is essential to consider the ranking of the correctly identified collocating word; the association measure that predicted the correct collocating word as early as possible is favored over the others. To do so, the rankings of the identified collocations for each association measure were recorded. After that, the average precision (AP) for each association measure is calculated for each node word. Then the mean average precision (MAP) [20] for each association measure is calculated for all node words.

3.4 Results And Discussion
Figure 1 shows the MAP scores for the first run; it can be noticed that all the association measures achieved good results in identifying the correct Quranic collocations. This is due the fact that the Quranic corpus is relatively small, and mostly the least frequent part of the collocation will correlate with only few number of words, which makes it easier for the association measures to detect the correct collocating word.

The log-likelihood association measure scored the best results when choosing the minimum frequency part of the collocation as the node word. Log-likelihood also gave promising results with modern standard Arabic in previous studies [21, 22, 23]. However, it was noticed that in the situations where the log-likelihood failed to identify the right collocating word, the two collocating words occurred separately so frequent in the Quranic text but only rarely together. In addition, raw frequency, MI3, MI.log_freq and t-score also achieved good results nearly similar to log-likelihood making them also interesting candidates. However, the MI association measure scored the lowest MAP, which is expected due to the fact that MI gives high scores for bigrams with low frequencies compared to high frequency ones, which should not be the case [3].
FIGURE 1: MAP scores of the association measures using first data set.

On the other hand, the results of the second run, Figure 2, show that when choosing the most frequent part of the collocation as the node word, the behavior of all the association measures have dropped, which is expected because the most frequent part of the collocation is more likely to be correlated with a large number of words making it more difficult for the association measures to extract the correct collocation. However, it can be noticed that the MAPs have dropped slightly as in the case of logDice, minimum sensitivity and MI, noticeably as in the case of MI3, MI.log_freq and log-likelihood, and drastically as in the case of raw frequency and t-score. This can be of strong indication that the logDice and minimum sensitivity measures are the most immune to data sparseness. In addition, it also indicates that the effect of data sparseness is tolerable on MI3, MI.log_freq and log-likelihood. However, it is not tolerable in the case of the t-score because it tends to ignore co-occurring words that co-occur less than expected, which is confirmed by other studies [24].

FIGURE 2: MAP scores of the association measures using the second data set.
Looking at the MAP scores of both runs, Table 2 and Figure 3, together with the average MAP scores of each association measure, Table 2 and Figure 4, it can be noticed that logDice and minimum sensitivity are the most stable measures that were not affected very much by the choice of the node word which make them reliable candidates for collocation extraction in relatively small corpora. In addition, log-likelihood, MI.log_freq and MI3 gave acceptable results in the average which also make them interesting candidates. It is also noticeable that raw frequency and t-score tend to have similar behavior in the two tests; and their performance dropped drastically when choosing the maximum frequency part of the collocation as the node word making them bad candidates for collocation extraction. This is expected with the case of raw frequency and also reasonable with the case of t-test since it is directly affected by the frequency of the node word.

On the other hand, MI scored the worst in terms of average MAP, which is due to the nature of MI that favors sparse words against common words during collocation extraction and ranking as in [25] making it a bad candidate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Minimum frequency</th>
<th>Maximum frequency</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>61.44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t-score</td>
<td>87.8%</td>
<td>49.58%</td>
<td>68.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>raw frequency</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>48.54%</td>
<td>67.26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>logDice</td>
<td>76.63%</td>
<td>68.66%</td>
<td>72.64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>minimum sensitivity</td>
<td>77.77%</td>
<td>67.78%</td>
<td>72.77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>log-likelihood</td>
<td>90.07%</td>
<td>63.24%</td>
<td>76.66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI.log_freq</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>66.35%</td>
<td>76.68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI3</td>
<td>86.26%</td>
<td>67.76%</td>
<td>77.01%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 2:** Comparing the MAP scores for the two data sets.
These obtained results coincide with other reported studies, for example, Boulaknadel et al. [21] tried to extract compound nouns from a small domain specific corpus using four association measures, which are log-likelihood ratio, t-score, MI and FLR [21]. The authors found that the log-likelihood ratio scored the best precision. In addition, Saif and Ab Aziz [22] tried to extract bigram collocations from a relatively small corpus of online Arabic newspapers using the log-likelihood ratio, chi-square, MI and the enhanced MI association measures. The results showed that the highest precision was achieved using the log-likelihood ratio.

4. SECOND EMPIRICAL STUDY: IDENTIFYING COLLOCATIONS AND CO-OCCURENCES FROM KSUCCA

In this study, the results of the previous study are further investigated by applying the same tested association measures to extract collocations from KSUCCA which is considered a very large corpus compared to the Quran. The goal is to assess the performance of the tested association measures and to check whether it is affected by corpus size, and to discover the best association measures that are suitable for extracting significant collocations and co-occurrences from Classical Arabic corpora.

4.1 Setting Up The Study
The first step in this study is to upload the KSUCCA corpus on Sketch Engine, and all the association measures from the first study are going to be tested.

4.2 Data Sets
The study will be performed on a set of 20 node words selected from KSUCCA. Table 3 shows these words and their frequency in KSUCCA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>word</th>
<th>frequency</th>
<th>word</th>
<th>frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>الوراء</td>
<td>2554</td>
<td>هج</td>
<td>12972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ليل</td>
<td>964</td>
<td>أمه</td>
<td>1429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>الصلاة</td>
<td>14327</td>
<td>شعر</td>
<td>5741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>البيت</td>
<td>22813</td>
<td>البرق</td>
<td>23672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>العمر</td>
<td>12050</td>
<td>الماء</td>
<td>941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>الشمس</td>
<td>2525</td>
<td>ليل</td>
<td>5238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>النوم</td>
<td>893</td>
<td>شعر</td>
<td>19524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>الحب</td>
<td>11934</td>
<td>البيت</td>
<td>13650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>الورد</td>
<td>3396</td>
<td>ماء</td>
<td>12291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>الجنة</td>
<td>2844</td>
<td>الورد</td>
<td>3900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 3: Node words for the second study.
4.3 Performing The Study
During the study, the identified neighboring words within a window of five words surrounding the node word from both sides were recorded for each association measure, and then manually marked by Arabic linguists as a correct collocation, a co-occurrence or an irrelevant word.

4.4 Results And Discussion
The MAP scores for the collocation extraction phase for each association measure are recorded in Table 4 and Figure 5. It can be noticed that the performance of all the association measures have dropped with the increase in corpus size. This is an expected consequence since the increase in corpus size also means the increase of the possibility of finding more correlated words that do not form significant collocations. In addition, MI still has the worst MAP score and this is also expected with the increase in corpus size since, as we mentioned before; it tends to favor sparse words which are unlikely to form significant collocations in a very large corpus.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>MI</th>
<th>Raw frequency</th>
<th>T-score</th>
<th>Log-likelihood</th>
<th>Minimum sensitivity</th>
<th>MI3</th>
<th>LogDice</th>
<th>MI.log_freq</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAP</td>
<td>5.19%</td>
<td>13.39%</td>
<td>14.92%</td>
<td>26.1%</td>
<td>31.72%</td>
<td>34.12%</td>
<td>35.12%</td>
<td>37.02%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 4:** MAP scores for collocation extraction phase.

On the other hand, MI3 outperformed log-likelihood and minimum sensitivity; achieving higher scores together with logDice and MI.log_freq measures. However, the MI.log_freq had the outstanding MAP score.

Looking at the precision scores, Table 5 and Figure 6, it is noticeable that the association measures that had the best ranking were also the ones that retrieved more significant collocations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>MI</th>
<th>Raw frequency</th>
<th>T-score</th>
<th>Log-likelihood</th>
<th>Minimum sensitivity</th>
<th>MI3</th>
<th>LogDice</th>
<th>MI.log_freq</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Precision</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>18.33%</td>
<td>21.33%</td>
<td>33.83%</td>
<td>42.5%</td>
<td>43.5%</td>
<td>45.83%</td>
<td>47.33%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 5:** Precision scores for the collocation extraction phase.
The previous results indicate that MI.log_freq and logDice and MI3 are the best association measures for extracting collocations from both small and very large Classical Arabic corpora in terms of MAP; these findings are also supported by the precision scores of the second study. In addition, the results also indicate that minimum sensitivity tend to produce acceptable results in terms of precision. On the other hand, log-likelihood does not seem to be a good choice when dealing with large corpora.

![FIGURE 6: Precision scores for the collocation extraction phase.](image)

Regarding the co-occurrence extraction phase, Table 6 and Figure 7, it can be noticed that MI.log_freq achieved the highest results in identifying significant co-occurrences within a window of five words around the node word. logDice also achieved a good MAP score nearly similar to MI.log_freq. In addition, minimum sensitivity and MI3 had nearly similar MAP scores making them also interesting candidates, while MI scored the worst.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>MI frequency</th>
<th>T-score</th>
<th>Log-likelihood</th>
<th>MI</th>
<th>Minimum sensitivity</th>
<th>LogDice</th>
<th>MI.log_freq</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAP</td>
<td>8.64%</td>
<td>13.22%</td>
<td>15.09%</td>
<td>28.19%</td>
<td>38.73%</td>
<td>39.04%</td>
<td>42.20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![TABLE 6: MAP scores for the co-occurrence extraction phase.](image)

![FIGURE 7: MAP scores for the co-occurrence extraction phase.](image)
Looking at precision scores, Table 7 and Figure 8, logDice measure is able to extract the highest number of significant co-occurring words, however, MI_log_freq is also able to extract almost the same number. On the other hand, MI3 and minimum sensitivity achieved nearly similar precisions, while MI had the least one.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>MI</th>
<th>MI_log_freq</th>
<th>T-score</th>
<th>Log-likelihood</th>
<th>MI3</th>
<th>Minimum sensitivity</th>
<th>MI_log_freq</th>
<th>LogDice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Precision</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>27.5%</td>
<td>31.5%</td>
<td>49.66%</td>
<td>61.83%</td>
<td>63.83%</td>
<td>66.83%</td>
<td>67.33%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 7:** Precision scores for the co-occurrence extraction phase.

These results of the co-occurrence extraction phase indicated that MI_log_freq and logDice are the most appropriate candidates, among all the tested association measures, to be used in extracting significant co-occurrences from very large Classical Arabic corpora. Moreover, MI3 and minimum sensitivity are also considered interesting candidates. However, MI showed very poor results in term of MAP and precision, which makes it the worst candidate.

![Graph showing precision scores for the co-occurrence extraction phase.](image)

**FIGURE 8:** Precision scores for the co-occurrence extraction phase.

5. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

In this paper we gave a brief description of KSUCCA; a pioneering 50+ million word corpus of Classical Arabic that captures the culture of a nation. It is a project with scientific, linguistic, cultural, social and religious aspects. KSUCCA was created with the goal of studying distributional semantics of the Quran and Classical Arabic; however, it can also be used for other researches on Linguistics, Computational Linguistics, Literature and History. We believe that KSUCCA will give scholars and researchers a new perspective on the analysis of the language of the Quran and Classical Arabic.

In addition, we have also reported the results of two empirical studies; the first study involves automatic extraction of the Quranic collocations with eight different association measures comparing the results to manually extracted version of the collocations as a gold standard. The study was done in two rounds; in the first round we have chosen the most frequent part of the collocation as the node word, and in the second round we have chosen the least frequent part as the node word. The goal of that study was to determine which of the eight tested association measures is more capable of extracting the most significant collocations from a relatively small corpus of Classical Arabic. In addition to testing how the choice of the node word affects the performance of the association measures. We have concluded that choosing the most frequent part of the collocation as the node word drops the MAP scores of the association measures.
Average MAP scores indicated that the logDice and the minimum sensitivity association measures are the most stable measures that were not affected very much by the choice of the node word which make them reliable candidates for collocation extraction in small corpora of Classical Arabic. In addition, log-likelihood, MI.log_freq and MI3 tend to produce acceptable results on small corpora. On the other hand, the MI, raw frequency and t-score association measures were effected extremely by the choice of the node word, and MI had the worst score in terms of average MAP.

The second study involved extracting significant collocations and co-occurrences from KSUCCA for twenty words using the same association measures in the first study. The goal of this study was to assess the performance of those measures on a very large corpus (KSUCCA) and how they are affected by corpus size, in addition to testing their ability to extract significant co-occurring words. This study had also two rounds; one for collocation extraction and the other for co-occurrence extraction, and the identified collocations and co-occurrences of both rounds were marked by expert linguists. Results for the collocation extraction round indicated that MI.log_freq and logDice are the best association measures for extracting collocations from very large Classical Arabic corpora in terms of MAP scores; they also scored the highest precision scores. In addition, MI3 and minimum sensitivity are also good candidates, while MI had the worst results in terms of MAP and precision scores.

On the other hand, the results of the co-occurrence extraction phase indicated that MI.log_freq and logDice are also the most appropriate candidates, among all the tested association measures, to be used in extracting significant co-occurrences from Classical Arabic corpora. Moreover, MI3 and minimum sensitivity are also considered interesting candidates. However, MI showed very poor results in term of MAP and precision scores, which makes it the worst candidate.

In the future, we plan to explore a wider range of association measures, and try to modify the most accurate ones in order to achieve a measure with higher precision and MAP scores for collocation and co-occurrence extraction from Classical Arabic.
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